

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,020	07/14/2003	Joseph S. Pleva	RTN-147CUS	6396
22494 7	590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
DALY, CROWLEY, MOFFORD & DURKEE, LLP			WIMER, MICHAEL C	
SUITE 301A 354A TURNPI	KE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANTON, M.	A 02021-2714		2828	

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/619,020	PLEVA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Wimer	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		I TINOT NEI ET WAOT	ICCO WITTIIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	` ''	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.</li> </ul>		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	n OSh

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2828

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added language in Claim 1 to" simultaneously forming a plurality of receive beams via a beamformer network" and stating that "the controlling comprises combining selected ones of the beams via a switch network" and now dividing the receive beams in two groups (i.e.," first and second plurality"), and to a lesser extent in Claim 20, now including "simultaneously" forming the receive beams, defining the switched network as in Claim 3, and deleting the term "radiation" in the remainder of the dependent claims was neither considered nor addressed in the final Office action rejection. Such limitations would require additional search, particualry since such characteristics and elements of the system were not present at final action, and possibly the application of new art in a rejection.